

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/639,943	08/13/2003	Richard H. Boivie	YOR920030260US1 (16780)	6976	
SCULLY SCC	7590 03/12/200 OTT MURPHY & PRES	EXAM	EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN	CITY PLAZA	LANER, BI	LANIER, BENJAMIN E		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CIT	Y, NY 11530	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2432		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/639,943	BOIVIE ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2432				

	BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2432					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: (1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the status of the status o	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07/. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTC 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		•					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		i be entered and an e.	xpiariation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Benjamin E Lanier/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2432					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues, "While Col. 8, lines 46-69 and Col. 14, lines 59-64 appear to say that the check values are "swed for later use and/or transmission", that page is not disclosing or suggesting that only its sender keeps the check values. Rather, it is save for later use, i.e., for sending it to the receiver." This argument is not persuasive because Applicant is referring to the alternative embodiment where the check values are transmission. Applicant has chosen to ignore the very clear fact that Serret-Avila specifically discloses that the check values can be stored without transmission.

Applicant alleges that "Serret-Avila's method would not work if its check values and signatures were kept only with the sender." However, this allegation is wholly unsupported by any evidence..